

Proceedings of the Special CEC Meeting of ISAPM, Held at Veterinary College AAU, Anand 11-12, August, 2018

1. It was noted that the last full-fledged CEC Meeting was held at the then HAU, Hisar in 1985. This is the 2nd such full-fledged CEC Meeting taking place after 33 years.
2. The Meeting of the new CEC members of ISAPM was organized to basically discuss the Report of Prof Dr NSR Sastry, who was requested to inquire into the issues that came out of the Conference and AGM held at the SDAU, Dantiwada. During this CEC meeting had also discussed issues raised by members to resolve the different pending issues related to functioning of ISAPM.
3. All the CEC members from different parts of the country were invited via email and telephone to this Meeting and 16 CEC members were present in this meeting (55.17%) fulfilling the quorum. Other CEC members could not attend because of pre decided official work of their organization. Some gave their consent via telephone to the resolutions passed by the CEC.
4. The Members present were – Drs NSR Sastry (Chief Patron), DV Rangnekar (invitee Hon. Member), AM Patel (invitee life member), KN Wadhvani (President), MM Trivedi (Secretary General), AP Chaudhary, DV Singh, S Pan, N Rajanna, Sarat Chandra, M Kumaravelu, Akalank Jain, Vivek Patil, AV Khanvilkar, RJ Modi (JS), MM Islam (Treasurer) and assisted by members NR Patel and YG Patel.
5. Dr. K. N. Wadhvani, President, ISAPM welcomed all the CEC members by offering bouquets. Dr N.S.R Sastry presented the PPT report prepared on the basis of documentary evidence on the allegation raised by some society members during the conference at SDAU.

6. Decisions

- I. The CEC members made a **fervent appeal** to all the members, not to use any ISAPM forum to publicly exhibit the likely antagonism, if any, between two members or groups, as they may affect the reputation of our 35 year old organization.
- II. The CEC has unanimously accepted the report submitted on facts and figures by Dr. NSR Sastry (Appendix 1) and took the following decisions / modification / corrective measures for smooth functioning of the society in future. These should be read in conjunction with Dr Sastry's Report and the matters given on our website (currently under updating).
 - i. All the Sponsored ISAPM Awards for 2018 were cancelled due to majority of the presenters not providing their presentation particulars which was needed for deciding on the various Awards in Form A1 (old SA1) at the time of Registration.
 - ii. Instead, for 2018, Session wise Awards are being given based on the aggregate of scores provided by 'on-dais' and 'off-dais' judges after judging each presentation. However, these awards will be presented during the next conference, which is going to be organized at Kerala Veterinary College, Mannuthy in the last week of January 2019.
 - iii. To avoid such difficulties, in future, the CEC will name one Judge Committee in collaboration with the Conference Organizing Committee (COC). This Judges Committee will be appointed for judging all the presentations of the conference for uniform marking. This will also facilitate to select the Best Conference Presentation Award as the same judges will be judging all the presentations. This is not possible now as different sets of judges of each session may judge differently.
 - iv. This needs close collaboration between the COC of Conferences and the ISAPM CEC as the Technical Sessions have to be timed to suit the new procedure.
 - v. The number of FNAPM awardees should be increased from 3 to 6 only for 2018 due to the circumstances explained. The first three awardees have been honoured at SDAU conference and

now the rest of three awardees (4,5 and 6) will be honoured at Kerala conference. This decision has been taken for this time only, i.e., 2018. (Item no-6 of Annexure-I)

- vi. In future, the FNAPM Awards should be restricted to a maximum of 5 or 1/3rd of the candidates, whichever is lower. This should be on the basis of best five aggregate scores obtained by candidates as per the evaluation committee, comprising of not less than 8 members.
- vii. Aspirants of any award/s must be life member of ISAPM.

- 7. Hospitality:** The Organizers of ISAPM Conferences are requested not to go for lavish functions during any conference by offering lunch / dinner or cocktail parties in luxurious hotels, as our main aim is to exchange technical notes at national level. The cost so saved can be utilized for the development and welfare of the society activities. OCs may provide healthy and hygienic simple food that the participants can enjoy together. Some local specialties are welcome if they do not cost a lot. Arrange in such a way that a lot of time is not spent in transportation and waiting for food.

Accommodation may be provided, as far as possible, at the same venue as the Conference, so that transportation time to and fro is reduced. Please look for simple, economic and clean accommodation for the participants to stay.

8. Eligibility for Life Time Achievement Awards

- i. A candidate must have retired at least from the post of a professor/principal scientist or equivalent.
- ii. A candidate must be of LPM discipline and FNAPM Awardees.
- iii. A candidate must have worked in the discipline of LPM, holding high level position/committees (University/state/national) related to education/research/extension/farm management.
- iv. Rest of the conditions is the same as mentioned on our website (currently being updated).

9. Eligibility for FNAPM Award

- i. A candidate of any cadre (post/position) can apply for FNAPM Award along with his/her latest CV and sponsored by a CEC Member and forwarded by his/her HoD/immediate superior.
- ii. The candidate should have a job experience of not be less than 15 years with a minimum of 10 years in LPM; experience will be counted after first permanent appointment as an Assistant Professor or an equivalent thereof.
- iii. A candidate must be a Life Member of ISAPM and is of LPM discipline only.
- iv. A candidate must have attained at least 5 National Conferences with presentation of papers/posters in each conference. (Documentary proof required).
- v. A candidate must have done some exemplary work (appreciation / achievement / medals) in area of Teaching, Research or Extension activities related to LPM subject and/or for the society ISAPM (Documentary proof required).
- vi. No FNAPM award will be given if no suitable candidate is found in any year.
- vii. Rest of the conditions is the same as mentioned on our website (currently being updated).

10. Eligibility for Sponsored Awards of ISAPM for Oral / Poster Presenters

- i. Must have at least completed MVSc/MSc (get certificate from Guide) in LPM. There is an Award for fresh PGs who have not yet joined service.
- ii. Those aspiring for Young Scientist and Young Lady Scientist Awards must provide proof that they are below 30 years of age, while submission of Form A1 (old SA1) on acceptance of their abstract.
- iii. A Presenter competing for NE Region Award must be a natural resident of NE Region and the research work being presented must have been done in NE Region only. Necessary proof to this effect should be submitted along with Form A1 (old SA1).
- iv. Presenter must be from LPM discipline and possess ISAPM Life Member Registration.

- v. To prevent proxy presentations, the presenter has to produce his / her identification proof to the Chairman before oral / poster presentation.
- vi. Form A1 (old SA1) is required to modified and give name of awards on the back side of the form so that the presenter can indicate the Sponsored ISAPM Award for which he / her wants to compete.
- vii. Completely duly filled in Form A1 (old SA1) must be submitted by the participant to organizing secretary after receiving the acceptance letter at least one week before the conference date.
- viii. Rest of the conditions is the same as mentioned on our website (currently being updated).

11. **Change in Evaluation Modality**

The President / CEC can appoint a Team of Judges confidentially (A Chairman and two supporting staff) for compilation of result for society / oral / poster session awards score sheets.

12. **Criteria for Presentation/Selection of Papers**

- i. Preferably, only one lead paper should be selected or a maximum two under certain circumstances for each technical session and time limit should not be more than 15 min and 5 min discussion. This will facilitate more youngsters to present and for longer time.
- ii. Abstract paper presenter should be given 5 min+2 min discussion. The time management is the duty of Chairman of the Session.
- iii. All other things being equal, juniors and fresh PGs should be given priority for oral or poster presentation.
- iv. Oral Paper presentation slides should not be more than 10. The presenter should exclude Introduction and include only Title, Objectives, Methodology, Result and discussion in brief and Conclusions.
- v. Poster presentation should not be more than four A2 size papers, with legibly printed bold letters.
- vi. It is strongly suggested that HoDs and seniors may train the first time presenters before they come for presentations.
- vii. Original research data would be considered for selecting the paper for oral presentation
- viii. Papers containing limited data and proving a procedure or intervention or a success story etc should be selected for poster presentation.
- ix. OC may arrange them at a convenient time so that maximum number of participants can attend.
- x. Paper presented should not have been sent or published either in a journal or book.
- xi. All presenters are invited to upload their full-length articles at the IJAPM website for consideration for publication.
- xii. Rest of the conditions is the same as mentioned on our website (currently being updated).

13. **Annual General Body Meeting:** AGB should be held on 2nd day of conference in the evening for fruitful discussion. The Cultural Programme on the 1st Day evening.

14. **Existence of the Posts of Patrons:** The CEC discussed in depth the existing pattern of multiple Chief Patrons and Patrons which is not seen in other organisations. It looks that no posts of patrons have been visualized in the mandate of ISAPM, though the same were created for specific reasons at the Jabalpur Conference. The present trend may lead us to end up with many Patrons. It was unanimously decided that only one post of one **Patron** should exist, under whose counseling the Society functions. In tune with this, the existing posts of Chief Patrons and Patrons are abolished. The house requested Dr Sastry, the present Chief Patron, be the Patron here afterwards. This will continue till the person resigns voluntarily. In such case the succeeding GBM should elect a new Patron.

15. **Future Pattern of Vice Presidents (VP) & Chapter Secretaries (CS) and their Duties**

- I. We now have Vice Presidents for various regions of the country and for ICAR, who will work as chief ISAPM heads for those regions. The Chapter Secretaries in States and Institutions should

work under the Vice President in whose jurisdiction he / she may fall. They form a strong team of ISAPM in their concerned areas / institutions.

- II. In future, the VPs should preferably nominate CSs in their regions, rather than some names being suggested by some members in the AGM. The VP-CS Teams will be in constant touch via email, WhatsApp or phone etc.
 - III. Together these teams of VP-CSs should scout for possible candidates for LTA and FNAPM Awards (see Website) and forward the same to the CEC.
 - IV. Together these teams of VP-CSs should activate/ motivate / guide the PG students about the advantages of becoming life members of the society.
 - V. Together these teams of VP-CSs should try to get advertisements for IJAPM from vet drug and equipment manufacturers etc, as our journal is now online and reaches a wider areas of India and abroad.
 - VI. Together these teams of VP-CSs should motivate ISAPM members in their jurisdiction to regularly submit quality research articles to IJAPM by uploading on the journal website.
 - VII. Together these teams of VP-CSs can suggest and take up any activity or Meet in their zonal jurisdictions in the discipline of LPM.
- 16. Patron & President**
- i. All the office bearers must follow the instruction / guideline and suggestions given by the patron in the interest of society.
 - ii. The President can call CEC meeting at any time to resolve the society problem on the cost of society expense.
 - iii. President should be in liaison with VPs about the activities are going on in the respective areas.
 - iv. President has to monitor the activities of the conference and support the OC in smooth conduction of the conference.
 - v. President can call CEC meeting in the consultation with Patron and can change the rule / modalities only in the interest of the society.
 - vi. The venue of a conference can be decided by the President in the consultation with the Patron, if AGM has not already done so.
- 17. States to be covered by various Vice Presidents**
- East:** West Bengal, Bihar, Jharkhand, Odisha, Sikkim and All North-Eastern states
West: Gujarat, Rajasthan, Maharashtra and Goa
North: Uttar Pradesh, Punjab, Haryana and Delhi
South: Andhra Pradesh, Telangana, Karnataka, Tamilnadu, Kerala, Lakshadweep and A & N.
Central: Madhya Pradesh and Chhattisgarh
Himalayan: Jammu & Kashmir, Himachal Pradesh and Uttarakhand
- 18. Theme of conferences/seminars**
- While deciding themes of conferences some link / continuity should be maintained between the Conferences, particularly on some crucial issues, so that the discussions lead to drawing conclusions / recommendations.
- 19. Sponsoring Award/s**
- If any member wants to give / declare any award, the minimum amount for award sponsoring will be Rs. 50,000/- (Fifty thousand). Owner of the awards should be invited to ISAPM conferences.
- 20. Revision in admission fee for membership**
- The house decided to revise the admission fee for membership (life, ordinary annual and student annual) from existing Rs. 30 to Rs. 100.

The meeting was ended with Vote of Thanks given by Dr M M Trivedi, General Secretary, ISAPM, Anand.
oOo

APPENDIX

1. Report on Awards 2018 by Dr NSR Sastry
2. Appendix I: Original Score Sheets- Compiled (Photo copies)
3. Appendix II: Average Marks awarded of all Presentations by the judges
4. Appendix III: Criteria for Selection for ISAPM Awards
5. Appendix IV: Proposed Reappraised (NEW) Awards List along with that of the kind Sponsors o by whose benevolence these Awards are being given

APPENDIX 1

REPORT SUBMITTED BY Dr N.S.R. SASTRY ON THE ISSUES RAISED BY MEMBERS AT DANTIWADA ANNUAL GENERAL BODY MEETING OF I.S.A.P.M. HELD ON 13.04.2018

For Consideration and Decision Making by yhe Reappraisal Committee (the CEC of ohe Society)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: *I wish to express my gratitude to the organizers (SDAU) of the conference, especially to Dr AP Chaudhary and his team for wonderful organization of the Conference and good cooperation in examination of the conference records in relation to the feedbacks received from some conference participants. Also, I wish to thanks all those giving their valuable feedback in different ways.*

THE ISSUES: The sum and substance of the issues raised at the above AGM, oral and telephonic conversation with me, postings in our Whatsapp Group LPMWALAE and feedback from members that I received on the secret 'WA Number', as well as examination of the score sheets etc kindly provided by the Conference Organizers, according to some of the members, is as follows –

- a) There seems to be partiality in selection of ISAPM Awards,
- b) Food arrangements for the participants could be better;
- c) Creating more opportunities and role for juniors and PG students.
- d) There is information gap that resulted in some misunderstandings amongst participants,
- e) The Lead-papers are taking too much time leaving less time for the oral presentations;
- f) Increase FNAPM Awards per year.

THE IMMEDIATE ACTION: The organizers have withheld further announcement of Award results at the conference valedictory function. Also, they took back all the certificates already announced for a few participants. This was done primarily for checking the issues that rose in the above feedbacks and take appropriate corrective measures for this anew (*de novo*) and the future conferences. That announcement stands canceled due to the objections from some members/ Cooperation of the OC in this regard is appreciated.

ACTION: The outgoing President, the incoming president, the Conference Organizing Secretary and I, decided to form a **Core Committee** and examine all the issues raised and present our report to a **Reappraisal Committee** (the CEC Members and special invitees, if any, to take appropriate decisions in this regard. I examined all the feedbacks and conference documents to make a preliminary report in this regard and took into consideration all the feedbacks.. Thus this report is the result of such an effort. The delay is due to someone or other of us being out of station or sick and the time taken in collection of the necessary documents.

THE REPORT

1. Delay in Announcement of Awards

- a) **Presenter Info:** If everything was in order, the results would have been announced and awards given promptly. But that was not the case. Especially **crucial** is the following form in which all the presenters of Oral and Poster presentations have to give their information right at the time of registration.

Form SA1

Information to be provided by Every Participant Making an Oral or Poster Presentation at the Annual Conference of the ISAPM

NOTE: This information is required for selection of candidates-presenters for the various ISAPM Awards

Please Fill these Particulars of your Presentation

Name:

Organization from:

Date	T. Session No.	Presentation No. and Codes (if any)	All the Author(s) of the Paper	
Confirm the following particulars of yourself and of your presentation			Tick (√)	
1	You are -		Male	Female
2	Are you below 30 years of age?		Yes	No
3	Are you from Arunachal P., Assam, Manipur, Meghalaya, Mizoram, Nagaland, Sikkim, Tripura (NE States)		Yes	No
4	Are you from the host Institution of this ISAPM Conference?		Yes	No
5	Are you a fresh (without service) MSc/Phd in LPM?		Yes	No
6	Your presentation is -		Oral	Poster
7	Is your presentation on - domestic animal behavioural science		Yes	No
8	Is your presentation on - small ruminant research		Yes	No
9	Is your presentation on - cooperative system for livestock production and management		Yes	No
10	Is your presentation on - innovative research in LPM (hither to not thought of)		Yes	No
11	Is your presentation on - livestock welfare and behavior		Yes	No
12	Is your presentation on -		Yes	No

This information of the paper and poster presenters is **crucial** for deciding on the different awards. Unfortunately a majority of the participants have either NOT filled it or just gave their NAMES. This made the job of deciding on Awards difficult, though attempts were made to contact by phone, the individual presenters directly or through their seniors on the penultimate day evening/night. I was a party to this exercise. This is the root cause of inability of the organizers to sort out Awards in time.

- b) **Other observations:** These have been common to previous conferences also. There was a tendency of the Session Officials, especially of last 2 or 3 sessions, to delay the presentation of their reports and recommendations to the OC. This leaves hardly any little time for compilation of the data and declaration of results, preparation of certificates etc even if one works late into the night. Another common situation is rushing up of the Plenary Session, which is the **HEART** of the conference, so that the VIPs and other formalities at the Valedictory Function are taken care of well.

This severely curtails the main business of the Conference, i.e., giving recommendation and showing solutions to livestock management problems.

Who else can vouch for this better than me, who had been the Chairman of the Plenary Session of last 5-6 Conferences?

2. **Session Officials & Judges in Audience:** In the Table given below are listed the names of officers/judges/referees, whose services were utilized for evaluation of the presentations. Because many gentlemen / ladies who have agreed to act as judges could not attend the conference. Hence, services of some local specialists were solicited. They were kind to agree.

OFFICIALS FOR DIFFERENT SESSION AT DANTIWADA

1. ORAL PRESENTATIONS

Technical Session-I	
Conservation and Improvement of Livestock	
Chairman	Dr P M Desai
Co-Chairman	Dr P K Dogra (7018567403, HOD, LPM, HPKVV, Palampur, HP) Dr Pawan Singh PS and I/C LPM, NDRI, Karnal)
Rapporteur	Dr R M Rajpura (9408423121, AAU, Anand)
Judges in Audience	Dr. B.N. Suthar, SDAU Dr Ravinder Kumar, Raj
Technical Session-II	
Doubling Farmers' Income for Rural Livelihood Security	
Chairman	Dr N S R Sastry (7702711173, Chief Patron, IJAPM and Retd Prof, LPM, CCSHAU, Hissar)
Co-Chairman	Dr A Sharat Chandra
Rapporteur	Dr Rana Ranjeet Singh
Judges in Audience	Dr. J.B. Patel, SDAU Dr B. K .Ashwar, SDAU
Technical Session-III	
Animal Welfare Issues and Strategies	
Chairman	Dr A L Saini (9872800342, Retd HOD, LPM, GADVASU, Ludhiana and President, ISAPM)
Co-Chairman	Dr. S. Shreedhar, AP Dr S. K Dabas CIRC, Meerut
Rapporteur	Dr A V Khanvilkar, Prof, LPM, MS
Judges in Audience	Dr. S.C. Goswami, Bikaner Dr. B. S. Chandel, SDAU
Technical Session-IV	
Economics and Marketing Animal Products	
Chairman	Dr M Mahendra (9100956352; 9948193588; Assoc Dean, Dairy Science, Kama Reddy, Telangana)
Co-Chairman	Dr M B Banday, Srinagar Dr. S. V. Singh, NDRI
Rapporteur	Dr M.M. Islam, AAU, Anand
Judges in Audience	Dr. K.B. Prajapati, SDAU Dr N. Kumaravelu, TANUVAS, Chennai
Technical Session-V	
Feeding and Nutritional Strategies	
Chairman	Dr K N Wadhvani (8780964773, HOD, LPM, AAU, Anand)
Co-Chairman	Dr S S Lathwal (9466269181, PS, NDRI, Karnal and Chief Editor, IJAPM) Dr Sanjita Sharma (9649551451, HOD, LPM, Jaipur)

Rapporteur	Dr M M Pawar (7573017460, SDAU)
Judges in Audience	Dr. Pawan Singh, NDRI Dr Jitendra Sahariya Assam
Technical Session-VI Climate Change and Mitigation Strategies	
Chairman	Dr B J Patel, SDAU
Co-Chairman	Dr. C. Hari Krishna, Telangana Dr. N. Rajanna, Hyderabad 9440153884, Telangana
Rapporteur	Dr Ravindra Kumar (9412830646, S-2, ICAR, Meerut)
Judges in Audience	Dr. P.K. Dogra HP M L Gurjar, SDAU
Technical Session-VII Smallholders /Integrated Farming System	
Chairman	Dr M Kishan Kumar (9848066708, OSD, Fisheries College, Pebbair, Telangana)
Co-Chairman	Dr B K Aswar, SDAU Dr. N. Kumaravelu, Chennai
Rapporteur	Dr J D Chaudhary, SDAU
Judges in Audience	Dr. Venkateshwarlu, Telangana, Dr R.M Patel, SDAU
Technical Session-VIII Animal Health Management and Behaviour	
Chairman	Dr D V Joshi, Dean Vet, SDAU
Co-Chairman	Dr. MBA, Siddiqui, Mumbai
Rapporteur	Dr Yogesh M Gami, SDAU
Judges in Audience	Dr. J M Chahande, MS Dr. Dhirender Bhosanle, SDAU
Technical Session-IX Livestock Products Technology, Value Addition, and Employment Opportunity	
Chairman	Dr K. Sarjan Reddy, DVVU, Tirupati
Co-Chairman	Dr Pawan Singh, NDRI Dr.H C Nakhashi, SDAU
Rapporteur	Dr Ajay Vir Sirohi, ICAR
Judges in Audience	Dr Girin Kalita, Assam Dr G P Sabapara NAU
Plenary Session	
Chairman	Dr N S R Sastry, CP, ISAPM
Co-chairman	Dr M R Prajapati, Dean Ag., SDAU Dr D V Joshi, Dean Vet, SDAU Dr A L Saini, President ISAPM, GADVASU
Member	Dr D.K. Bidarkar. Telangana Dr K B Prajapati, SDAU
Rapporteur	Dr G. Kalita. Assam Dr A K Srivastava, SDAU

3. POSTER PRESENTATIONS

Poster Judging Committee-I (Technical Session-1, 2 & 3)	
Chairman	Dr H C Chauhan, Raj
Co-chairman	Dr Suresh Rathod, Raj
Member	Dr A Yashotha. TANUVAS Dr H D Chauhan, SDAU
Poster Judging Committee-II (Technical Session-(4, 5 & 6)	
Chairman	Dr. Ram Prasad Jat, Jaipur, Raj

Co-chairman	Dr B S Chandel, Raj Dr H H Panchasara, Navsari
Member	Dr B Prassna, Karnataka Dr R J Modi, Anand
Poster Judging Committee-III (Technical Session-(7, 8 & 9))	
Chairman	Dr R M Patel, Gij
Co-chairman	Dr S C Goswami, NDRI Dr Kodape, MS
Member	Dr Naveen Patel Dr D N Singh
Central Award Committee for Appeals	
Chairman	Dr D V Joshi, Dean Vet, SDAU
Co-chairman	Dr M C Desai, Retired Sc, LTA Awardee, Gujarat Dr A L Saini, President, ISAPM Dr A P Chaudhary, OS, SDAU
Member	Dr K N Wadhvani, Anand Dr S S Lathwal, NDRI

3. **How the Presenters are normally evaluated?** A good number of seniors had not come even after their conformation and / or their absence in the lecture halls when sessions were going on, which forces organizers to look for appropriate local specialists as referees, who have, I feel did a great job despite their other duties. There are given for the Session Officials to Judge in Audiences to evaluate each presenter (see below).

(Form A2)

National Seminar of ISAPM

EVALUATION REPORT OF INDIVIDUAL PRESENTATIONS FROM THE CHAIRMAN-RAPPORTIER OF EACH SCEINTIFIC SESSION AND POSTER SESSION TO THE ORGANISING SECRETARY

ATTENTION: I/C Scientific Sessions - 1 to n, Poster & other Sessions, if any.

Session Number	Paper No.	Name of the Presenter	Topic introduction (Out of 10)	Presentation technique (Out of 30)	Explanation of findings (Out of 35)	LPM/Field Relevance (Out of 10)	Discussion ability (Out of 15)	Totaal(Out of 100)

CHAIRMAN

CO-CHAIRMAN(2) RAPP.

JUDGE X1

JUDGE X2

Names:

NOTE: 1. Use more sheets if the presenters are more;

Similarly, the following Table is to be used for evaluation of the articles published in IJAPM for various ISAPM Awards. The Chief Editor may initiate the process of selection between the 1st and the last announcement of the Conference. The Chief Editor may identify about six seniors from different parts of the country as Judges and provide them with the Volumes of IJAPM to be considered for the year (preferably indicate their location on the IJAPM website so that the judges can evaluate the various articles for various awards). He may request them to give marks to each presentation in the following form. He/She may find out the averages of the six judges, decide on the Awardees for the different journal article awards. He may then send it to the Editor in Chief for concurrence, who will present it to the CEC.

Form A3**PROFORMA FOR EVALUATING FULL RESEARCH ARTICLES FOR DIFFERENT AWARDS**

Article in IJAPM Vol(s). _____ ” for presentation at the National Symposium held at _____

Sl. No	Name of the Candidate (s)	From which Organisation	Topic introduction (Marks out of 10)	Presentation technique (Marks out of 30)	Explanation of findings (Marks out of 40)	LPM/ Applied / Field Relevance (Marks out of 10)	Uniqueness of Research (Marks out of 10)	Total (100)

NOTE: 1. Use more sheets if the presenters are more;
EDITOR

CHIEF EDITOR

EDITOR IN CHIEF

4. **De novo Evaluation of Data/Score-sheets:** To remove lingering doubts in the minds of members, information from all the original Data/Score-sheets of every session were compiled for reevaluation (see **Appendix I**). Anybody can see that, for any session, more than 50% of the judges included were from other states. Local judges had to be used for reasons already mentioned. Otherwise there is danger of one or more Sessions going un-evaluated. My 45 years of experience saw nothing untoward in the trends of scoring.

Also, if any one carefully studies the trend of marks awarded to each presenter, one can surely feel that the marks allotted by all judges reflect more or less a similar individual evaluation preferences. One can also see that the probability of a presenter getting higher or lower score from each presenter shows similar trend irrespective of the fact whether the judges is from his or her (presenter's) native state or not. And all the judges are our own honoured members.

The scores of each judge (On and Off the dais) for each presenter are totaled and the averages tabulated in **Appendix II**. Based on this compilation, the winners are decided anew. Only the judges of the poster sessions gave the Presenters ranked 1, 2, and 3, which were taken as such.

REAPPRAISAL OF ISAPM AWARDS 2018

The Selection Committee for selection of LTAA and FNAPM Award Comprised of 10 members but only the following responded – 1 Dr NSR Sastry, 2. Dr Sarjan Reddy, 3. Dr KN Wadhvani, 4. Dr S Pan, 5. Dr L Hmar, 6. Dr AL Saini, 7. Dr Ramesh Sarvna Kumar, 8. Dr T A Banday. On behalf of the CEC of ISAPM, Dr Yashpal, General Secretary (out-going), who is now in New Zealand, collected and compiled CV of all the proposals / candidates and sent them to each of the 10 Selection Committee members for evaluation. The Total of the marks (out of 10) given by each members (one did not respond) were totaled and averages calculated. This was the **basis of the evaluation** and announcement of the results.

The evaluation is based on the existing criteria for selection of the LTAA and FNAPM Awards that were followed till now. For convenience of the members a copy of the same is appended at **Appendix III**.

5. **ISAPM Life Time Achievement Award:** There was only one nomination for this award; that is of **Dr Prakashchandra Mahashankar Desai** from Gujarat which was unanimously approved by the Selection Committee.
6. **National Fellow of the ISAPM Award:** In all there were 9 proper proposals. The names of the candidates are listed in the Table given below. It was felt by two Committee Members that Candidate No. 7, Dr. Jampala Venkata Ramana, has done very good work, no doubt, but that is more relevant to the discipline of Animal Nutrition. However, the total/average was calculated on the basis available judge's scores for him too.

S.no	Name of candidate for FNAPM	Total score of all expert	Ranking order	
1	Dr. A.V. Khanvilkar	68.20	1	Awarded
2	Dr. Cherala Hari Krishna	60.95	5	Review now?
3.	Dr. Pardeep Kumar Dogra	67.85	2	Awarded
4.	Dr. Vivek Patil	60.50	6	Compare with 5?
5.	Dr. Jitendra Saharia	65.30	3	Awarded
6.	Dr. Natarajan Kumaravelu	63.70	4	Review now?
7.	Dr. Jampala Venkata Ramana	*53.25	9	
8.	Dr. Chandrahas	55.60	8	
9.	Dr. Y. Ravindra Reddy	60.40	7	

*It was felt that this gentleman's good work is more appropriate for an award in the discipline of Animal Nutrition.

There are actually 8 candidates for FNAPM, as the Animal Nutritionist is out of contention as explained above. The CEC felt that about 1/3rd of the candidates only may get the Award, which comes to about 3. Accordingly, the first 3 ranked gentlemen were awarded. It may be noted that we do not have any fixed rule that how many should get FNAPM Award each year. It is, true. That due to long backlog, the Society had given FNAPM awards to 6 or more in some years. But, how far is it appropriate to give the FNAPM Awards to **6 candidates out of 8**? It may **reduce the value and importance of ISAPM's FNAPM Awards**. Kindly **do think** about it.

Yet, considering the vociferous wishes of some members expressed at the time of the last AGB Meeting and from the feedbacks that I received mentioned above, I propose that the Reappraisal Committee may reconsider this, if they like. Since the actual number of candidates is only 9 (minus one) it may be given to the first five candidates. Then, actually the difference in average score between the 5th and 6th positioned persons is only 0.45 (see the list given above). Hence, I thought that the sixth one may also be awarded, if he satisfies all other conditions.

7. **ISAPM Awards:** The is the list of other Awards, kindly sponsored by our good members along with information on for whom they are to be awarded.
- a) **Best PAPER Presentations:** 1. **Dr. N.S.R. Sastry Young Scientist** (30 yrs). 2. **Smt. Kadambini Devi Award** on Animal Behaviour (Age no bar). 3 **NSR Sastry Eight Sisters NE** for Best LPM Research (Age no bar), 4. **Dr. D. K. Bidarkar Award for MSc/MVScs not from Host Inst** (Age no bar), 5. **Navasari Agricultural University Award for Cooperative Livestock Production Systems** (Age no bar), 6. **Sri Cherala Bhagya Raja Ram Award for Innovative Res** (Age no bar). 7. **Shri A Lakshman Rao Award for fresh MSc/MVSv/PhD res** (For 'Not in- service' candidate), 8. **Sri Thirunahari Murahari Award for Young Lady Scientist <30 yrs**.
- b) **Best POSTER Presentations:** 9. **Dr. D. K. Bidarkar for Best Poster Award** (Age no bar) for the best poster presentation at the national symposium. 10. **Smt Rayavarapu Jayalakshmi Narasinga Rao Award** (Age no bar) for the best poster presentation on livestock welfare and behavior.

- c) **Best IJAPM Articles (in an year):** 11. **Dr. Maheswar Mishra Award** (Age no bar) for the best research on practical significance to farmers and entrepreneurs, 12. **Dr. V. S. Upadhyay Award** (Age no bar) for the best research paper on **integrated crop-livestock Farming system**, 13. **Dr. M. S. Patel Award** (Age no bar) for the best research paper published in the journal on **participatory research** in LPM and/or that which benefits the farmers. It was brought to my notice that the following three Awards were also sponsored by our Honourable members and are to be given from this year onwards for articles in IJAPM. Since we are behind the schedule in journal, I suggest the Editorial Board may kindly consider these in immediate next Volume of the journal. Also we have to contact the Sponsors to tell in whose name they want to establish the Award. Dr MF Siddiqui from Parbhani is the only sponsor, who clearly suggested so. The CEC may finalise these.

	Sponsor	What topic	Oral/Postal/Journal
14.	Dr. Siddiqui	Mohammed Ismail Siddiqui Award Gender issues or Management of indigenous breeds or LPM in marginalized areas	IJAPM articles, as there are many for presentations
15	Dr. Patel	(Sponsor to provide name) Gender issues or Management of indigenous breeds or LPM in marginalized areas	IJAPM articles, as there are many for presentations
16	Sr Randhir Singh	(Sponsor to provide name) Gender issues or Management indigenous breeds or LPM in marginalized areas	IJAPM articles, as there are many for presentations

- d) My suggestion is that all the Awards should be for Best IJAPM Articles. **Award 15** can be for Gender issues or Management of indigenous breeds or LPM in marginalized areas (Dry Drought-prone Areas), **Award 16** can be for Gender issues or Management of indigenous breeds or LPM in marginalized areas (Arid & Semi-Arid Areas), and **Award 17** can be for Gender issues or Management of indigenous breeds or LPM in marginalized areas (Hilly Areas). In this way we will cover three crucial ICAR-Approved Agro-Climatic Zones in which livestock production is crucial and women play a big role in it.

8. De novo Revisiting the Decisions on Awards this Yea, 2018:

- a) **Form SA1:** It may be noted how crucial is the information in this form (Information to be provided by Every Participant Making an Oral or Poster Presentation at the Annual Conference of the ISAPM), for selection of the various Awardees. I have tried to request for such information afresh by sending eMails to Seniors/HoDs from where there were participants. Also I put a similar request in our WhatsApp Group. I also contacted some personally on phone. But the response is, unfortunately, very meager and not helpful. Still we do not know which presenters (male and female) are below 30 years and who is a fresh PG (not yet in service) as three Awards have to be decided on this basis. Till today, 30.06.2018, the last date of the 10 day window, suggested by me, there were only 3 responses.
- b) **Poster Sessions:** Compared to the number of actual entries to the Poster Sessions, the actual participants were few. There is also the problem of shortages of judges/referees. Hence the organizers clubbed them into 3 Poster Sessions in all and judged accordingly; see the Table below.

Poster Session-I (includes technical session-1+2+3)

Tech. Session	Poster No	Poster Sr No	Page No	Average Score	Ranking
1	CIL-34	A-251	22	84.5	I
3	AWS-38	A-253	61	84.25	II
3	AWS-25	A-132	53	81.75	III
Poster Session-II (includes technical session-4+5+6)					
5	FNS-33	A-86	98	83.0	I
6	CMS-17	A-48	122	82.0	II
5	FNS-47	A-217	106	80.0	Cancelled – withdrawn!
Poster Session-III (includes technical session-7+8+9)					
9	LPE-18	A-117	192	82.25	I
8	AHM-36	A-244	176	80.75	II
8	AHM-28	A-93	171	80.75	II

**Note that there were no PPs at all under Tech Session 2.*

- e) **How to decide about this year's ISAPM Awards** under such a situation, I suggest to the **Reappraisal Committee** to select **ONE** of the 3 options given below or may decide some other method. All results are compiled together, session wise in **Appendix II: Average Marks of all Presentations**.
- i) Cancel all these awards this year, 2018.
 - ii) Postpone till next year conference (2019), hoping that the necessary information about the presenters will at least be available by that time.
 - iii) In view of the circumstances explained above we may present **9 Awards (1st and 2nd)** for the best Oral Presentation in each of the 9 Technical Sessions, **3 Awards (1st and 2nd)** for the best Poster Presentation in Each of the 3 Poster Sessions. We shall award all the IJAPM Awards next year after finalizing the freshly proposed Awards and selection criteria afresh.
 - iv) In case there is no presenter from NE states falling within the above 9 awards, we should present NSR Sastry NE States Award to a presenter from this region that scores the highest average score.
 - v) A certificate and ISAPM Memento + a ISAPM Certificate to be presented to the 1st and a ISAPM Certificate to the 2nd ranked Awardees. The Awards can be in the name of that particular Technical Session. I will be glad to sponsor the ISAPM Mementos for this year. However, the ISAPM Mementos for future also should be similar, as far as possible.
 - vi) Of course, it is unfortunate to decide in this way, but we have to see the best alternative, so that "justice is done and justice is seen to be done".

9. The PROPOSED PATTERN OF AWARDS to be given as per 'Option iii'

LIST OF PROPOSED REAPPRAISED (NEW) AWARDS, ALONG WITH THAT OF THE KIND SPONSORS, BY WHOSE BENEVOLENCE THESE AWARDS ARE BEING GIVEN

SPONSORS AND AWARDS

ORAL PRESENTATIONS

1. **Dr. N.S.R. Sastry Young Scientist Award** (below 30 yrs).
2. **Smt. Kadambini Devi Award on Animal Behaviour** (Age no bar).
3. **NSR Sastry Eight Sisters NE Award for Best LPM Research** (Age no bar),
4. **Dr. DK Bidarkar Award for MVScs not from Conference Host Institute** (Age no bar),
5. **Navasari Agri University Award for Cooperative Livestock Farming** (Age no bar),
6. **Sri Cherala Bhagya Raja Ram Award for Innovative Res** (Age no bar).
7. **Shri A Lakshman Rao Award for fresh PG Research** (For 'Not in- service' candidate).
8. **Sri Thirunahari Murahari Award for Young Lady Scientist** (below 30 yrs).

POSTER PRESENTATIONS

9. **Dr. DK Bidarkar for Best Poster Award** (Age no bar) for the best poster presentation at the national symposium.
10. **Smt Rayavarapu Jayalakshmi Narasinga Rao Award** (Age no bar) for the best poster presentation on livestock welfare and behavior

IJAPM ARTICLES (in a year)

11. **Dr. Maheswar Mishra Award** (Age no bar) for the best in one calendar year on practical significance to farmers and entrepreneurs,
12. **Dr. V. S. Upadhyay Award** (Age no bar) for the best research paper on integrated crop-livestock Farming system,
13. **Dr. M. S. Patel Award** (Age no bar) for the best research paper published in the journal on participatory research in LPM and/or that which benefits the farmers.
14. **Mohammed Ismail Siddiqui Award** for Gender issues or Management of indigenous breeds or LPM in **Dry/Drought-prone** areas (Sponsored by Dr MF Siddiqui._
15. **Dr. Patel** (Sponsor to provide name) Gender issues or Management of indigenous breeds or LPM in **Arid/Semi-arid Areas**.
16. **Dr Randhir Singh** (Sponsor to provide name): Gender issues or Management indigenous breeds or **LPM in Hilly areas**.

The respected Sponsors are hereby informed that, this year, 2018, the ISAPM Awards are being given to the following out of the Sponsorgip funds provided by all of you, due to some unavoidable operational problems.

It was brought to my notice that the last three Awards (14-16) were also sponsored by our Honourary members and is to be given from this year onwards for articles in IJAPM. Since we are behind the schedule in journal, I suggest the Editorial Board may kindly consider these in immediate next Volume of the journal. Also we have to contact the Sponsors to tell in whose name they want to establish the Award. Dr MF Siddiqui from Parbhani is the only sponsor, who clearly suggested so. The CEC may finalize these.

THE PROPOSED ISAPM AWARDS, 2018

It may be **noted** that, in view of this reappraisal, all the few ISAPM Awards, 2018, announced at the Valedictory function were cancelled (certificates taken back). The following are the results as per the fresh reappraisal. **This procedure is followed only for this year, in view of the unusual circumstances explained.**

TECHNICAL SESSIONS

a) Prizes in Technical Session 1: Conservation and Improvement of Livestock

A-168	1st	EFFECT OF ESTRUS SYNCHRONIZATION ON BREEDING EFFICIENCY OF BEETAL GOATS UNDER STALL-FED CONDITIONS M Singla , A L Saini, S Kaswan, Ashwani, P S Brar, R S Grewal And Yashpal Institution from: GADVASU, Ludhiana	81.3
A-71	2nd	MILK YIELD AND MILK FLOW TRAITS IN JAFFARABADI BUFFALOES AS AFFECTED BY PARITY AND STAGE OF LACTATION B. Raju ; Ch. Ha rikrishna ; A. Sarat Chandra and M. Venkateswarlu Institution from:PVNRTVU, Mamnoor, Telangana	74.7

b) Prizes in Technical Session 2: Doubling Farmers Income for Rural Livelihood Security

A-227	1st	LIVELIHOOD IMPROVEMENT AND FARMERS' EMPOWERMENT THROUGH SUSTAINABLE DAIRY FARMING IN WESTERN UP Suresh Kumar* ; M Kumar; J K Singh; S Saha; N Chand; A S Sirohi; Megha Pande; N Prasad; Y K Sonia and S Tyagi Institution from:ICAR-CIRC, Meerut	64.0
A-219	2nd	IN DEPTH FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS OF MULUKANOOR WOMEN DAIRY COOPERATIVE SOCIETY: A SUCCESSFUL MODEL IN DAIRY COOPERATIVE SYSTEM Suresh, R* ; Sarjan Reddy .K; Ravindra Reddy.Y; Sarma G.R.K & Punyakumari B. Institution from: PVNRTVU, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad	62.2

c) Prizes in Technical Session 3: Animal Welfare Issues and Strategies

A-204	1st	EFFECTS OF DIFFERENT BEDDING MATERIALS ON THE COLOURED BROILER PERFORMANCE. Y. D. Padheriya ; R. R. Singh; N. B. Patel; N. S. Dangar and B. P. Bramhkshtri Institution from: NAU, Navsari	82.3
A-172	2nd	INFLUENCE OF BODY CONDITION SCORE AT THE TIME OF BREEDING ON PRODUCTION PERFORMANCE OF BEETAL GOATS UNDER STALL-FED SYSTEM Arpan Sharma; Sandeep Kaswan* ; S. Sivakumar; Mandeep Singla and Amrit Lal Saini Institution from: GADVASU, Ludhiana	81.6

d) Prizes in Technical Session 4: Economics and Marketing of Animal Products

A - 115	1st	INFLUENCE OF BODY CONDITION SCORE AT THE TIME OF BREEDING ON PRODUCTION PERFORMANCE OF BEETAL GOATS UNDER STALL-FED SYSTEM Arpan Sharma; Sandeep Kaswan* ; S. Sivakumar; Mandeep Singla and Amrit Lal Saini Institution from: GADVASU, Ludhiana	81.6
A - 182	2nd	DISPOSAL PATTERN OF CROSSBRED FRIESWAL BREEDING BULLS Ajayvir Singh Sirohi* , N. Chand, S. Tyagi, S. Kumar, M. Pande, A. Sharma, R. Tyagi and S. Arya Institution from: ICAR-CIRC, Meerut	76.0

d) Prizes in Technical Session 5: Feeding and Nutritional Strategies

A - 59	1st	EFFECT OF FEEDING CONCENTRATE FEED ON BODY WEIGHT AND GROWTH RATE IN MEHSANA BUFFALO CALVES Bharat Rathod ; Mayak Patel; Yogesh Gami and H H Panchasara Institution from: SDAU, Sardarkrushinagar	72.8
A - 20	2nd	NUTRITIONAL EVALUATION OF <i>PROSOPHIS JULIFLORA</i> PODS AS A EED RESOURCE FOR SMALL RUMINANTS M.M. Pawar* and P.C. Joshi Institution from: SDAU, Sardarkrushinagar	67.5

e) Prizes in Technical Session 6: Climate Change and Mitigation Strategies

A - 96	1st	HEAT TOLERANCE OF INDIGENOUS AND CROSSBRED CATTLE DURING ADAPTABILITY IN TROPICAL ENVIRONMENT S. Sreedhar ; K. Sarjan Rao; J. Suresh and V. Padmanabha Reddy Institution from: SVVU, Tirupati	69.4
A-91	2nd	COMPARATIVE BODY WEIGHT OF INDIGENOUS SHEEP ON WATER DEPRIVATION DURING SUMMER SEASON R. P. Patel ; R. J. Modi; M.M. Islam; Y. G. Patel and K. N. Wadhvani Institution from:AAU, Anand	69.2

f) Prizes in Technical Session 7: Smallholders /Integrated Farming System

A-146	1st	PRODUCTION PERFORMANCE OF HFxK CROSSBRED COWS DURING DIFFERENT GENERATIONS UNDER INTENSIVE PRODUCTION SYSTEM Aruna D. Patel , M. M. Islam, R. J. Modi, N. R. Patel, Y. G. Patel and K. N. Wadhvani Institution from: AAU, Anand	78.5
A-118	2nd	ADOPTION LEVEL OF IMPROVED GOAT REARING PRACTICES IN TIRUVALLUR DISTRICT OF TAMILNADU T. Geetha and P. Tensingh Gnanaraj Institution from: TANVASU, Chennai	76.0

g) Prizes in Technical Session 8: Animal Health Management and Behaviour

A-47	1st	VALIDATION OF PHYSIO-BIOCHEMICAL PARAMETERS AND TARGET-SELECTIVE TREATMENT WITH REFERENCE TO GASTRO-INTESTINAL AND HAEMOPROTOZOAN DISEASES IN THE SMALL RUMINANTS Bhupamani Das* ; Abhinav Suthar; R. M. Patel; Samir Raval; Jaydeep Patel and Arif Pathan Institution from:: SDAU, Sardarkrushinagar	79.8
A-92	2nd	POST PARTURIENT NEONATAL AND MATERNAL BEHAVIOUR IN SURTI BUFFALOES Rana Ranjeet Singh ; Piyush Dubey; Sandhya S Chaudhary V B Kharadi and V K Singh Institution from: NAU, Navsari	78.4

h) Prizes in Technical Session 9: Livestock Products Technology, Value Addition, and Employment Opportunity

A-102	1st	EARLY WEANING AND REBREEDING TO IMPROVE LITTER INDEX AND PIGLET PRODUCTION : A CASE STUDY Girin Kalita , Salem Lallawmawmi, Tukheswar Chutia, Lakhya Jyoti Kakoti, Malsawmkima Pachuau and Nanda Kumar Roy Institution from: CAU, Aizawal, Mizoram	77.3
A-186	2nd	GROWTH PERFORMANCE, PHYSIOLOGICAL ACTIVITY, CARCASS COMPOSITION AND HEMATOLOGICAL PARAMETERS OF STRIPED CATFISH PANGASIANODON HYPOPHthalmus FED WITH ISO – PROTEINOUS DIETS CONTAINING DIFFERENT PROTEIN SOURCES Surjya Narayan Datta* ; Ajeet Singh; Amit Mandal and Geeta Jassal Institution from: GADVASU, Ludhiana	76.3

POSTER SESSIONS

i) Prizes in Poster Session-I: (includes technical session-1+2+3) = LIVESTOCK, LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION & LIVESTOCK FARMERS

A-251	1st	FACTOR AFFECTING BIRTH WEIGHT, TWINNING AND SEX RATIO IN MEHSANA KIDS AT ORGANIZED FARM J. V. Patel*, A. K. Srivastava, H. D. Chauhan J. P. Gupta, Y. M. Gami, N. K. Thakkar and M.P. Madhvatar Institution from: SDAU, Sardarkrushinagar	84.5
A-253	2nd	MORTALITY PATTERN IN WHITE GIANT AND SOVIET CHINCHILLA RABBIT KITS N.K.Thakkar*, A.K.Srivastava, H.D.Chauhan, A.P.Chaudhary, J.P.Gupta, J.V.Patel, M.P. Madhavatar and P.D. Patel Institution from: SDAU, Sardarkrushinagar	84.25

j) Prizes in Poster Session-3: (includes technical session-4+5+6) = LIVESTOCK ECONOMICS, FEEDING & CLIMATE MITIGATION STRATEGIES

A-86	1st	EFFECT OF GRADED LEVELS OF UREA FERTILIZER ON GROWTH AND BIOMASS YIELD OF MAIZE UNDER LOW-COST HYDROPONIC FODDER PRODUCTION SYSTEM M. Kalyana Chakravarthi [*] ; P. Aruna; A. Krishna Murthi; R. Lavanya; S. Siva Jyothi and I. A. Shantha Latha Institution from: SVVU, Tirupati, Andhra Pradesh	83.0
A-48	2nd	INFLUENCE OF SEASON, EJACULATION NUMBER AND MOTILITY ON POST THAW SEMEN QUALITY IN CROSSBRED BULLS Chaudhari C. F.; Modi L.C.; Chaudhari N.F.; Khasatiya C.T. and K.K. Tyagi Institution from: NAU, Navsari	82.0

k) Prizes in Poster Session-3: (includes technical session-7+8+9) = LIVESTOCK - SMALL FARMER PRODUCTION, HEALTH AND PRODUCT ISSUES

A-117	1st	COMPARATIVE ASSESSMENT OF DIFFERENT REARING SYSTEM ON CARCASS CHARACTERISTICS OF KADAKNATH CHICKEN T. Geetha and P. Tensingh Gnanaraj Institution from: TANVASU, Chennai	82.25
A-93	2 nd 1	EFFECTS OF AUTOMATION ON DAIRY FARMS AND ITS PROSPECTUS Prasanna, S. B., Mahadevappa D. Gouri., Rajeshwari, Y. B., Abhijeetkumar, Saswatkumar. Ranjeet Roy and Mohammed Sayed Ali Institution from:KVAFSU, Hebbal, Karnataka	80.75
A-244	2 nd 2	MANAGEMENT OF NEONATAL CALF DIARRHEA IN MEHSANA BUFFALOES AT ORGANIZED FARM Sarita Devi; H H Panchasara; Mayank Patel; S H Raval and B I Prajapati Institute from: SDAU, Sardarkrushinagar	80.75

l) I appeal to all the members to accept these as there is no other alternative. Cost of all the awards may be met from the donations by all the kind sponsors as usual. ISAPM may write to each sponsor individually to express regrets for utilizing the Awards gracefully instituted by them for this year. Also, the LIST OF ALL SPONSORS may be clearly given at the top of the Awardees/ List (Appendix IV).

10. Partiality and Other Apprehensions:

a) **By whom?** It is understandable that if some youngsters, due to their inexperience or innocence or provocation could cast such aspersions. It is our duty to guide them on finer organizational issues and do justice by them. **But** it is very very sorrowful to have seen that very senior members of ISAPM, who themselves held and are holding important positions in ISAPM, to actually shout their aspersions and also some calling for boycott. Yes, boycott. At least it is stunning for me; I died 1000 deaths out of shame. We did **not** behave like educated and sensible senior members of a vast country-wide scientific body. Please go through some issues explained below in this connection and give your views. The present OC co-operated well and has gracefully provided all the original data and documents for this reappraisal. This was not the case in some former Conference OCs. There was no fault of for favoring from

OS, SDAU, Dantiwada. Also, contrary to what many may believe, sometimes I have come to know of the actual Awardees (including LTAA and FNAPM awards) just when they were announced from the dais.

- b) Observation on Judges/Referees and Scores:** Earlier was given (above) list of the names of officers/judges/referees, whose services were utilized for evaluation of the presentations. Because many gentlemen / ladies who have agreed to act as judges could not attend the conference, services of some local specialists were solicited. They were kind to agree. Also, if any one carefully studies the trend of marks awarded to each presenter, one can surely feel that the marks allotted by all judges reflect more or less a similar individual evaluation preferences. One can also see that the probability of a presenter getting higher or lower score from each presenter shows similar trend irrespective of the fact whether the judges is from his or her (presenter's) native state or not. And all the judges are our own honourable members. The scores of each judge (On and off the dais) for each presenter are totaled and the averages tabulated in **Appendix II**. Based on this compilation, the winners are decided anew. Only the judges of the poster sessions gave the Presenters ranked 1, 2, and 3, which were taken as such.
- c) Missing Information on Presenters:** As mentioned earlier this information of the paper and poster presenters is **crucial** for deciding on the different awards. Unfortunately a majority of the participants have either NOT filled it or just gave their NAMES. This made the job of deciding on Awards difficult, though attempts were made to contact by phone individual presenters directly or through their seniors on the penultimate day evening/night. I was a party to this exercise. This is the root cause of inability of the organizers to sort out Awards in time.
- d) Judge your ISAPM from Actual Facts:**
- i) Out of the 13 LTA Awards given till 2018** by ISAPM so far, the recipients are from 10 states i.e., Andhra Pradesh (1), Assam (2), Gujarat (2), Karnataka (1), Kerala (1), Odisha (1), Punjab (1), Tamil Nadu (1), Telangana (1) and UP (1), kindly show us how, when, and where regional partiality was shown.
 - ii) Out of the 60 FNAPM Awards given till 2018** by ISAPM, they cover about 65% of States and UTs of India. Kindly show us how, when, and where regional partiality was shown.
 - iii) Out of the Scores of Sponsored Awards of ISAPM** till now, there has never been any complaint. Only this year, out of respect for those seniors and love for the youngsters, all the original data and documents were reexamined critically (as explained above) and the reappraised data results and Awards (including how) were presented.
 - iv) Participation Counts:** It is natural that when a conference was organized in a particular state, there will be more participants from that state, resulting in more presentations and, naturally chances of more Awards from there. And Gujarat has 4 Agricultural Universities, 4 Veterinary Colleges and 5 Agriculture Colleges with LPM departments/sections.
 - v) Food:** Every area and every state in India has its own culturally based food habits. A healthy attitude should be to eat food of that particular area, rather than worrying over personal food preferences. Our main purpose in participating in the conference is to exchange intellectual notes in our discipline. We always tell Organizers to have simple and hygienic food of your region that may be enjoyed by a majority, without going for lavish items and lavish locations. That should be our spirit brothers and sisters. Better to eat simply, rather than eating lavishly and falling sick; yes there were many such instances in our conference history.

11. Recasting ISAPM Guidelines: With the experience gained over years, I propose to the CEC of ISAPM may prepare and present **ISAPM Guidelines for Organizing Conferences** so that, in future, ISAPM Conferences go smoothly in a way satisfying to all, at least the majority.

12. THANKS: I thank ISAPM for entrusting this important work to me. I tried to do my best, despite some personal and family difficulties. I hope that the members in general understand the actual facts now and help us develop further. You can send your views, and those of your colleagues, especially juniors, to the President for consideration of the CEC in the next CEC Meeting to be held around 11th August, I understand.

oOo